RECEIVED

SMITH, CURRIE & HANCOCKOLE 16 PH 4: 17

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

A LEGIT-D LLAWILITY PARTY WHICH THAT DOG 1 TABLE PROFESSION WILL IN MICH.

Telephone: (202) 461-3100 Faconsile: (202) 461-3102 Reply Tie: Dirk D. Haire 1901 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 601 Washington, 1X: 2006

July 16, 2010

VIA COURIER

Jeff S. Jordan, Esq.
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Elections Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463

original

Direct Dial: (202) 461-3114

dilhain@mithcume.com

Re:

MUR# 6296

Response to the Complaint, dated May 18, 2010.

Dear Mr. Jordan:

This Response is submitted on behalf of respondents Hensel Phelps Construction Co. (Hensal Phelps) and Jerry L. Morgensen (Jerry Morgensen) in response to the above-captioned Complaint. Respondents received the General Counsel's May 26, 2010 letter and the May 18, 2010 Complaint on June 1, 2010. Respondents timely requested an extension to respond until July 16, 2010. That request was granted. See Exhibit 1. Respondents appreciate that consideration and respond to the Complaint below.

I. Background

Henfal Phelps in an employer-counted counteraction company based in Colorado, with district offices in Arizona, California, Florida, Texas, and Virginia. Hensel Phelps was founded in 1937, and since that time has taken pride in building thousands of projects for both public and private owners, including the federal government. Hensel Phelps has received numerous awards for its projects, including several Build America Awards and the United States Army Corp of Engineers Contractor of the Year award. Among the projects Hensel Phelps has performed has been the renovation of the Pentagon, which Hensel Phelps commenced in 2001 and will complete in 2011. More information about Hensel Phelps is available at www.henselphelps.com.

Jerry Mergenson is currently Hussel Pheips's chairmen and has been employed by the canoning oince 1973. Mr. Margamen racides in Greekly, Calorado with his wife, Lynds, and is involved with numerous charitable and asmmunity setivities.

Jerry Morgensen and Hensel Phelps' approximately 2,200 employees take justifiable pride in their many efforts to support and improve the communities in which they live and work in Colorado and throughout the United States.

The allegations contained in the Complaint contain numerous factually incorrect allegations. Hensel Phelps and Jerry Morgensen have at all times acted in compliance with applicable factural comparison. However, and regulations. These are obtained political mothem behind the Complaint. Furfore Mr. Grice's Complaint was received by the FifC or provided to the Ecopolaint, it was provided to the press. In fact, the Complaint was posted on Politico's web site at

http://www.politico.com/static/PPM41 buck complaint pdf.html, and a rival candidate to Ken Buck utilized the Complaint for political purposes. See Exhibit 2. Given the confidential nature of the FEC's proceedings, it is likely that Complainant or someone affiliated with Complainant has provided the Complaint to the press out of political motivations, and without respect for the confidential nature of the FEC's process.

The Response below circuity demonstrates that these is no basis for a possible finding that: Hensel Pieles or Jamy Mengamus has engaged in candust which vialutes federal campaign law. Therefore, we request that the Federal Elections Commission close this file as to Respondents without any further action taken.

II. Responses to Complaint

The Complaint makes numerous factual allegations, generally followed by a number of legal conclusions which are not always clearly tied to any factual support. In addition, many of the factual allegations do not involve Respondents. On this basis, Respondents will respond to those factual allegations which appear to involve Hensel Phelps or Jerry Morgensen. As always by the responses below, these has have no interaction between Hensel Phelps or Jerry Marganan and Ken Buck or the Hank Campaign amounting campaign contributions. Accordingly, them is no basis for the allegation tiest there has been noordination between Hensel Phelps or Jerry Morgensen and the Buck campaign.

Allegation: Hensel Phelps is a domestic corporation incorporated in the State of Delaware on July 1, 1952, with corporate headquarters at 420 Sixth Avenue, Greeley, CO 80682 (970-352-6565). Hensel Phelps is a federal government contractor and, as such has received substantial federal government contracts and funds, including, most recently, government stimulus funds. In addition, Hensel Phelps was awarded at least \$1.65 billion in federal government contracts in its own masse, and mather appendimentally \$64 million in federal government southwats in joint wenture contracts with Kinsel Corporation and \$117 million in federal government operates in joint venture contracts with Solpac Genstruction,

Inc. d/b/a Soltek Pacific Construction Company. Thus far, in 2009 and 2010, Hensel Phelps has been awarded approximately \$433 million in federal government contracts.

Response: Mr. Grice's allegations contain multiple factual errors, though none of them are material to disposition of this matter. It is accurate to state that Hensel Phelps is a construction company headquartered in Greeley, Colorado, and that Hensel Phelps has performed a wide variety of finderal and non-federal construction contracts duting its 73-year hintory. See Affida six of Juney Manganeses at Emblish 3, ¶ 1.

Allegation: The Chairmen and CHO of Hensel Phalps is Jerry L. Morgensen. He is a resident of Greeley, CO, and is a member of the finance or fundraising committee of the Buck Committee. In addition, he is a director and owner of Cache Bank and Trust, 4601 W. 20th Street, Greeley, CO.

Response: Jerry Morgensen is the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Hensel Phelps, but not the CEO. Jerry Morgensen is not, and has never been, a member of the finance or fundraising committee of the Buck Committee. Jerry Morgensen is a member of the board of directors at Cache Bank and Trust. Jerry Magnitude currently swins approximately 48% of Cache Bank and Trust's customaring atoms. See Afficient of Jerry Morgensen at Exhibit 3. ¶¶ 1-3.

Allegation: After leaving the Colorado United States Attorney's office in about 2002, Buck worked for approximately two years for Hensel Phelps and for Morgensen in Greeley. Co.

Response: Ken Buck worked for Hensel Phelps from February 11, 2002 until January 7, 2005. His job title was Trainer Condinates. His general dedies were to run a work ferms recruiting and hiring program focused on at-risk youths and alternative recruiting sources for employees. Ken Buck has never been employed by Jerry Morgensen. See Affidavit of Jerry Morgensen at Exhibit 3, ¶ 4.

Allegation: In approximately March, 2009, Buck interviewed prospective campaign consultants. During these interviews, Buck informed prospective campaign consultants and others that his friend Morgensen was prepared to spend up to \$1 million on Buck's anticipated U.S. Senste campaign, presumably as an independent expenditure. Morgensen, who accompanied Buck to some of these interviews, confirmed that he was planning to "invest" \$1 million dollers or more in Buck's Senste campaign.

Remunent derry Marguntan attended one morting in Mouth, 2609 with Ken Buck and a prospective campaign consultant to discuss general campaign matters. At no time during that meeting or in any other meeting or convenention did Jerry Morgenson make a

statement such as the one alleged above in the Complaint. The remaining allegations are false. See Affidavit of Jerry Morgensen at Exhibit 3, ¶ 5.

Allegation: Since announcing his campaign for the U.S. Senate, Morgensen and other direct employees of Hensel Phelps and their direct family members have contributed a total of \$145,000 to Buck and/or to the Busk Committee. These total campaign contributions from Morgensen and/or employees of Hensel Phelps and their direct family mombers constitute nearly one-fourth of the total campaign contributions mensived by Busk and the Busk Committee through March 31, 2010.

Response: As indicated on the publicly available campaign finance reports, all campaign contributions made by Hensel Phelps employees and their direct family members to the Buck Committee are compliant with FEC regulations and applicable law. See Affidavit of Jerry Morgensen at Exhibit 3, ¶ 6.

Allegation: Upon information and belief, Buck has advised Morgensen and other potential Buck denotes who are financially able to contribute more than the maximum allowable contribution of \$2,400 to make excess contributions to Declaration Alliance in care of Jon Haming.

Response: Ken Buck has never advised Jerry Morgensen to make contributions in excess of federal limits to any entity, organization, or person. Neither Hensel Phelps nor Jerry Morgensen has been involved in any financial transactions with Declaration Alliance. See Affidavit of Jerry Morgensen at Exhibit 3, ¶¶ 7-8.

Allegation: In February and March, 2010, intending to benefit Buck and the Buck Committee, Declaration Alliance, with the involvement of Jon Hotaling, and using funda funcialed to Declaration Alliance by Hennel Phelps and/or Morgensen and/or other individuals who have contributed in excess of the maximum allowable contribution limit of \$2,400 per individual, received and spent just over \$158,000 on a pelevision ad campaign attacking one of Buck's potential eximary opponents.

Response: Neither Hensel Phelps nor Jerry Morgensen have had any involvement with or made any contributions to Declaration Alliance for the benefit of the Buck Committee or for any other purpose. See Affidavit of Jerry Morgensen at Exhibit 3, ¶ 8.

Allegation: In January 2010, intending to benefit Buck and the Buck Committee and, upon infermation and helief, with the involvement of Jon Hoteling, Clampaign for Liberty used funds funnshed to it by Hennel Pholps and/us Maggensen and/or other individuals who have contributed in excess of the maximum allowable contribution limit of

\$2,400 per individual to spend approximately \$329,000 on a television ad campaign attacking one of Ruck's potential primary opponents.

Response: Neither Hensel Phelps nor Jerry Morgensen have had any involvement with or made any contributions to Campaign for Liberty for the benefit of the Buck Committee or for any other purpose. See Affidavit of Jerry Morgensen at Exhibit 3, ¶ 9.

Allegations In the last few moushs, Housel Pholps and/or Morganian and/or other individuals, intending to benefit Buck, upon instructions from Buck, have made additional contributions in excess of the maximum allowable contributions limit of \$2,400 to Americana for Job Security (AJS). These contributions in excess of allowable contribution limits were funneled to AJS on instructions from Jon Hotaling.

Response: Ken Buck has never instructed either Rensel Phelps or Jerry Morgensen to make federal campaign contributions in excess of legal limits. Neither Hensel Phelps nor Jerry Morgensen has made any contributions in excess of federal limits for the benefit of Busk or the Buck Committees. Size Affidavit of Jerry Morgensen at Edukhit 3, ¶ 7.

Allegation: In April, 2010, intending to benefit Buck and the Buck Committee, AJS, using funds funneled to it by Hensel Phelps and/or Morgensen and/or other individuals who have contributed in excess of the maximum allowable contribution limit of \$2,400, received and spent at least \$294,000 on a television ad campaign and promotional campaign literature promoting Buck for U.S. Senate.

Response: Neither Hensel Phelps nor Jerry Morgensen are sware of how much money AJS has spent in connection with the production of television programming or educational literature. See Affidavit of Jerry Morgenson at Exhibit 3, ¶ 10.

Allegation: According to the Buck Committee's March 31, 2010 FEC report, cal March 30, 2010, Buck loaned the Buck Committee \$100,000 to advance his campaign for the U.S. Senate. According to Weld Country, CO, records, on November 4, 2009, Buck and his spouse, Mrs. Buck, borrowed \$120,000 from Cache Bank and Trust, Greeley, CO, the bank owned by Morgensen. This \$120,000 loan was secured by a deed of trust on the Greeley Townhouse jointly sward by Buck and Mrs. Buck. In that the most recent assessment of the value of time real property by the Weld County Assessor was \$167,800, the lean to Buck and his spouse by Morgensen's bank represented 71.5 percent of the value of the mul property. This loan by Morgensen and his bank, Cache Bank and Trust, Garday, CO, constitutes an additional improper cambibution in excess of purmisable FEC limits by a corporation, to select Cache Bank and Trust and/or an individual, to wit: Margensen and/or Mrs. Buck.

Response: Until receiving Mr. Grice's Complaint from the FEC, Jerry Morgensen had no knowledge of any of the activities alleged in the above paragraph. Upon receiving the Complaint, Jerry Morgensen communicated with a bank loan official and determined that the loan in question was made pursuant to standard bank loan procedures. Jerry Morgensen asked about the circumstances, and fearined that the terminese in question was previously owned by Ren Buck's mother, who had recently passed away. Mr. Buck and his wife abbuind the lum in December, 2008 for the purpose of purchasing the inharitance interests of Mr. Buck's two brothers in the terminese and abtaining sole deverahip of the property. Maither Hensel Phelps nor Jarry Morgensen have actual knowledge of lens Kan Buck and his wife used the loan proceeds. Mr. and Mrs. Buck repaid the loan to Cache Bank and Trust in full in November, 2009 when the loan was refinanced with another institutional mortgage lender. See Affidavit of Jerry Morgensen at Exhibit 3, ¶ 11.

Affiguation: The Declaration Alliance, Campaign for Liberty, and AJS television ad buys made for the beself of Buck and the Buck Committee and the AJS campaign literature promoting Buck for Senate mailed to Colorado citizens were financed by Morgensen, Hansel Phelps, other contributors, Cache Rank and Trust, Granley, CO, and/or Mrs. Buck to the extent of her joine ownership interest in the Granley Townhouse. As such, these contributions constitute contributions in excess of the permissible FEC limits, impermissible coordinated campaign expenditures, and prohibited corporate contributions by a major federal government contractor and/or a regulated banking institution.

Response: To the extent the above factual allegations involve Hensel Phelps and Jerry Morgensen, they are repetitive of previously made allegations and respondents deny them for the reasons previously stated.

III. Conclusion

The Response shove clearly shows there has been no coordination between Hensel Phelps or Jerry Morgensen and Ken Buck or the Buck campaign. Therefore, we request that you close the Commission's file in connection with the Complaint. Please contact the undersigned if you wish to request any further information in connection with this matter.

Sincerely.

Smith. Currie & Hancock LLP

Dirk D. Haire

EXHIBIT 1



June 9, 2010

Dirk D. Haire Smith, Currie & Hancock LLP 1901 Pennsylvania Ave NW Suite 601 Washington, DC 20006

RE: MUR 6296

Hensel Phelps and Jerry L. Morgensen

Dear Mr. Haire:

This is in response to your letter dated June 9, 2010, which we received on that day requesting a 30-day extension to respond to the complaint filed in the above-noted matter. After considering the circumstances presented in your letter, the Office of General Counsel has granted the requested extension. Accordingly, your response is due by the close of business on or before July 16, 2010.

If you have any questions, please contact me on our toll-free telephone number, (800) 424-9530. Our local telephone number is (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Prankie D. Hampton, Paralegal Complaints Examination and

Legal Administration

EXHIBIT 2

Charles R. Grice, Jr.

Aurora, CO 80016

May 18, 2010

Thomasenia Duncan, Esq. General Counsel Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463

Re:

Kenneth R. Buck, Buck for Colorado, Declaration Alliance, The Campaign for Liberty, Inc., Americans for Job Security, Jerry L. Morgensen, Hensel Phelps Construction Co. and Perry L. Buck

Dear Ms. Duncan:

Pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 111.4, please accept this letter as a Complaint for violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (herein the "Act"), and regulations of the Federal Election Commission (herein the "FEC" or the "Commission") against Colorado U. S. Senata candidate Kenneth R. Buck (herein "Bresh"), his U.S. Sanata campaign amanitate Bunk for Colorado (herein the "Buck Gommittee"), Daalanation Allianou, a Virginia corporation (hemin "Deuleration Alliance"), The Compaign for Liberty, Inc., a Delaware corporation (herein "Campaign for Liberty"), Americans for Job Security, a Washington, D.C. corporation (hereix "AJS"), Jerry L. Morgansen (herein "Morgansen"), Hensel Phelps Construction Co., a Delaware corporation (herein "Hensel Phelps"), and Perry L. Buck (herein "Mrs. Buck"). The foregoing individuals or entitles are sometimes collectively referred to herein as the "Respondents." Specifically, the Respondents have, individually and collectively. (a) violated the prohibition on accepting coordinated communications paid for by individuals und/or corporations as set forth in 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(7)(B)(i) and 11 C.F.R. 114.2(b) and 109.22. (b) violated the prohibition on according considerated communications unit for by federal government contempers as set furth in 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a)(7)(B)(i) and 441c(a) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 115.2(a) and 109.22, (c) made impermissible cornorate contributions to a U.S. Senate candidate and/or a federal candidate campaign committee, and (d) in the case of Mrs. Buck, violated the individual campaign contribution limitations.

I. Facts

Buck is and at all relevant times has been a resident of WeM County, CO, resident at Greeley, CO 80534. His spouse, Mrs. Buck, resides with him at this same address.

Since December 30, 2008, Buck and Mrs. Buck have been the owners, as joint tenants, of a townhouse located at 3950 W. 12th Street, Unit 21, Greeley, CO 80634 (herein the "Greeley

Townhome"). See attached Exhibit A. According to the records of the Weld County Assessor, the most recent assessed value of the Greeley Townhome was \$167,352. See attached Exhibit A.

The Buck Committee is the authorized principal campaign committee for Buck, who is a cantilitate for the United States Squatt for the State of Colorado. The Buck Committee filed its most remain FEC Form 1 Statement of Organization on Decamber 21, 2009. See attacked Exhibit B. Buck filed his most recent FEC Form 2 Statement of Candidacy on July 1, 2009. See attached Exhibit C.

FEC comribution and expenditure reports filed by Buck and the Buck Committee through March 31, 2010 are available on the Commission's website, at http://images.nictusa.com/cgi-bin/fecinse/?C80461388.

Displacement of Virginia on December 5, 2005. See attached Exhibit D. Upon information and belief, one of its principal agents or employees is John Hotaling, fermerly of Denver, CO.

Campaign for Liberty is a domestic corporation incorporated in the State of Delaware on June 3, 2008, and is registered to do business in the State of Virginia. See attached Exhibit E. The officers and/or directors of Campaign for Liberty are Jesse Benton and Ronnie Paul. See attached Exhibit E.

AJS is a description mut-for-pecifit conjugation incorporated in Washington, D.C., as a 501(c)(6). See attached Exhibit F.

All three groups—Declaration Allimore, Campaign for Liberty and AJS—are wall-known conduits readily accessible to candidates who can supply big donations.

Hensel Phelps is a domestic corporation incorporated in the State of Delaware on July 1, 1982, with corporate headquarters at 420 Sixth Avenue, Greeley, CO 80632 (970-352-6565). See attached Exhibit G. Hensel Phelps is a federal government contracts and funds, including, most recently, government "stimulus" funds. In addition, upon information and ballef, in 2009, Hunnel Phalps was ansured at least \$1.65 billion in federal government contracts in its two name, and another approximately \$24 million in federal government contracts in joint venture contracts with Kiewit Corporation and \$117 million in federal government contracts in joint venture contracts with Kiewit Corporation Construction, Inc. d/b/a Solada Pacific Construction Company. Upon information and belief, thus far in 2009 and 2010, Hensel Phelps has been awarded approximately \$433 million in federal government contracts.

The chairman and CEO of Hensel Firelps is Jerry L. Morgensen. See attached Exhibit H. Morgensen is a resident of Greeley, CO, and is, upon information and belief, a member of the finance or fundrinsing normatice of the Buck Committee In addition, Morgensen is a director and owner of Canha Famik and Trast, 4601 W. 20th Street, Greeley, CO. See attached Exhibit H. After leaving the Colorado United States Attorney's office in about 2002, Buck worked for approximately two years for Hensel Phelps and for Morgensen in Greeley, CO.

In about March 2009, as Buck was considering his candidacy for the U.S. Senate, Buck interviewed prespective compaign consultants. During these interviews, Busic informed prespective compaign consultants and athers that his friend his remains was prepared to spend up to \$1 million on Back's anticipated U.S. Senate compaign, presumably as an independent expenditure. Morgenson, who amountained Bunk to some of these interviews, confirmed that he was planning to "invest" one million dollars or more in Buck's Senate compaign.

Since announcing his campaign for the U.S. Senate, and according to the attached FEC reports, Morgensen and other direct employees of Hensel Phelps and their direct family members have contributed a total of \$146,655 to Muck and/or to the Buck Committee. See Quarterly Reports, available at http://iranges.nictues.cem/exi-bin/fecime/FC00MI1368. These total campaign contributions finant hangensen and/or employees of Hansel Phelps and these direct family members sometimes meanly one-fourth of the total campaign contributions received by Buck and the Bank Campaign March 31, 2010.

Upon information and belief, Buck has advised Morgensen and other potential Buck donors who are financially able to contribute more than the maximum allowable contribution of \$2,400 to make excess contributions to Declaration Alliance in care of John Hoteling. In February and March 2010, intending to benefit Buck and the Buck Committee, Declaration Alliance; with the involvement of John Hoteling, and using funds farmeled to Declaration Alliance by Hensel Phelps and/or Morgenson and/or other individuals who have contributed in excess of the maximum allowable contribution limit of \$2,400 per individual, continued and spent just over \$158,000 an a television ad campaign automing one of Bank's notential primary openness. See attantial Bahilitit I.

Just before that, in January 2010, intending to benefit Buck and the Buck Committee and, upon information and belief, with the involvement of John Hoteling, Campaign for Liberty used funds funneled to it by Hensel Phelps and/or Morgensen and/or other individuals who have contributed in excess of the maximum allowable contribution limit of \$2,400 per individual to spend approximately \$329,000 on a relevision ad campaign attacking one of Buck's petential primary opponents. See attached Exhibit J.

In the last few mention, Finned Piches tradfer Manganatan ancion other inclviduals, intensing to beautif Bunk, upon instructions from Bunk, have made additional contributions in excess of the maximum allowable contribution limit of \$2,400 to AJS. Upon information and belief, these contributions in excess of allowable contributions limits were funneled to AJS upon instructions from John Hoteling.

In April 2010, intending to benefit Buck and the Buck Committee, AJB, using funds funneled to it by Hussel Photos und/or Morgonson and/or other individuals who have contributed in second of the custingua allowable contributed in second of \$2,440, resched and spent at least \$294,000 on a television at campaign and promotional campaign literature promoting Buck for the U.S. Senate.

According to an article in the April 13, 2010, edition of The Denves Post, Bunk's U.S. Sense campaign manager, Walt Khain, announced that AJS had "tuken mans than \$300,000 in

[television] ads out to support Republican Senate hopeful Ken Buck," and that "[t]he pro-Buck ads should start running on most Denvar network stations, in Colorado Springs and in Grand Junctical this veetit through April 23." See attented Exhibit K, which also is semilable at http://blogs.denvaggest.gom/thropot/2010/04/13/vinginib-based-political-ranner-to-nun-pao-busib-ads/. The AJS television ad touts Buck as a conservative candidate for the U.S. Senate who will fight to cantrol ferious! government spending. The AJS television ad also encourages viewers to call Buck and tell him to keep fighting for taxpayers who have had it with federal government spending. The television ad may be viewed at:

http://www.youtube.com/user/ajssavejobs#p/a/u/0/9b5p8xL6DR4. Clearly, Mr. Klein had advance knowledge and information about this AJS television ad buy, thus demonstrating an impresper coordinated expenditure by AJS.

All teid, the three granges—Department Alliances, Campaign for Liberty and AJS—spent upwards of \$781,000, that is, over three-quarters of a million dollars, on ad buys intended to benefit Buck ami the Back Committee.

According to the Buck Committee's March 31, 2010, FEC report, on March 30, 2010, Buck loaned the Buck Committee \$100,000 to advance his campaign for the U.S. Senate. See April 2010 Quarterly Report, available at http://images.nictusa.com/cgi-bin/fecimg/?C00461368. According to Weld County, CO, records, on November 4, 2009, Buck and his spouse, Mrs. Buck, borrowed \$120,999 from Cache Bank and Trust, Greeley, CO, the bank swined by Morgensen. This \$120,000 loan was secured by a deed of trust on the Greeley Townhouse jointly owned by Buck and Bank. Buck. In that the most assessment of the vidue of this real property by the Weld Caunty Assesser was \$167,852, the loan to Buck and his spouse by Morgensen and his bank represented 71.5 percent of the weive of the real property. This loan by Morgensen and his bank, Cache Bank and Trust, Greeley, CQ, constitutes an additional improper contribution in excess of permissible FEC limits by a corporation, to wit: Cache Bank and Trust and/or an individual, to wit: Morgensen and/or Mrs. Buck.

As is clear from the foregoing, the Declaration Alliance, Campaign for Liberty and AJS television ad buys made for the benefit of Buck and the Buck Committee and the AJS campaign liberature promoting Buck for Shoate mailed to Colerado citizens were financed, upon information and belief, by Morgensen, Hensel Phelps, other contributors, Cache Bank and Trust, Gircley, CO, and/or Mrs. Buck to the extent of her joint community interest in the Greeley Townhouse. See attached Exhibit L. As such, those contributions constitute contributions in excess of the permissible FEC limits, impermissible coordinated campaign expenditures and prohibited corporate contributions by a major federal government contractor and/or a regulated banking institution.

II. Relevant Law

A public summerication is "a communication by means of any broadcast, cable or smallite communication . . . to the general public, or any other form of general public political advertising." 11 C.F.R. § 100.26. When an organization pays for a public communication that is coordinated with a candidate, as opposed to an independent expenditure, the communication is considered an in-kind contribution to that candidate and is subject to the Act's contribution

limits, prohibitions and reporting requirements. See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(7)(B)(i); 11 C.F.R. § 109.22. Therefore, only permissible nonces may make coordinated communications. 11 C.F.R. § 109.22. Communications are "coordinated" if "anxist in cooperation, consultation or consultation or consultation or at the request or suggestion of, a cantidate, a cantidate's authorized communities, or a political party ammunities." 11 C.F.R. § 1(2).20; 22 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(7)(B)(i).

Corporations are prohibited from making contributions to candidates for federal office, and may not pay for communications coordinated with a candidate. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a); 11 C.F.R. §§ 114.2(b), 109.22. Government contractors likewise are prohibited from making contributions to federal candidates and, by extension, from paying for coordinated communications. 2 U.S.C. § 441c(a); 11 C.F.R. §§ 115.2(a), 109.22. Individuals who are not otherwise prohibited from making contributions may contribute up to—Dest met muse than—\$2,490 per election. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. §§ 110(a), 110(b)(1).

Contributions include in-kind contributions of "unything of value," and the provision of goods or services for less "the usual and normal charge for such goods and services" results in a contribution and, in the case of a corporation, a prohibited contribution. 2 U.S.C. §§ 431(8)(A)(i), 441b(b)(2); 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.52(a), 100.52(d), 114.Z(b)(1). A commercial transaction, such as the making of a loan, is permissible only if it is "commercially reasonable" in the loan was made "in exchange for bargained for consideration of equal value." Advisory Op. 2006-34.

As noted abuve, expenditures for coordinated communications are contributions subject to the Act's reporting requirements and must be reported by a political committee. See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(7)(B)(i), 434; 11 C.F.R. § 109.20, 104.2.

Coordinated communications paid for by corporations or government contractors are impermissible in-kind contributions under the Act and may not be accepted by a political committee. 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a), 441c(a); 11 C.F.R. §§ 114.2(b), 115.2(a), 109.22. Knowing acceptance of an impermissible contribution by a political committee, or by an "officer or employee of a political committee," is a violation of the Act. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f).

III. Legal Analysis

The advertisements purchased and sum by Reslauttion Alliance, Campaign for Liberty and AJS are impermissible coordinated communications. According to Buck's campaign manager, who clearly had advance knowledge of the AJS ad buys, the AJS television ads ran on "most Denver network stations, in Colorado Springs and in Grand Junction," Colorado. See Attachment K. Thus, the ads are "broadcast" communications, which are "communications" under the Commission's regulations.

The solvertismments are conclinated communications because of the apparent cooperation between Declaration Addisonat, Campining for Liberty and/or AJS and Mingansan and/or Hensel Phelps artifor Mrs. Butch and/or other undisclosed contributors, on the one hand, and Butch and the Buck Committee, on the other. The statement of Buck's campaign manager in The Denver Post article indicates that both Buck and the Buck Committee had prior knowledge of the AJS ad

buy, and that the AJS ad buy would benefit Buck and the Buck Committee. See attached Exhibit K. Moreover, Buck's campaign manager Mr. Klein had details consuming the AJS television ads, such as the amount of the ad buy, witness and when the AJS television and would be sun, and the duration of the AJS television ad buy. See attached Exhibit Mr. This is the sunt of information that could only have come from those purchasing and manning the ads, samely AJS and/or Margenson and/or Hennel Phelps and/or Mrs. Ruck and/or other undisclosed contributors. Thus, the Declaration Alliance and AJS ad buys were "made in cooperation, consultation or concert with" Buck and the Buck Committee, and the ads are coordinated communications under the Act and the Commission's regulations.

As a result, expenditures by Declaration Alliance, Campaign for Liberty, AJS, Morgensen, Hensel Phelps. Mrs. Buck, and/or other undisclosed contributors constitute impermissible inkind availability, probability, probability, probability, probability, probability, probability, probability, contributions by a major federal government commeter and/or a requisted banking institution for the hensiit of Buck end the Buck Committee. Because the Degiaration Allianus, Compaign for Liberty and AJS ads were coordinated with Buck and the Buck Committee, and were not independent expenditures, the expenditures for the Declaration Alliance, Campaign for Liberty and AJS ads were in-kind contributions to Buck and the Buck Committee. The Declaration Alliance, Campaign for Liberty and AJS ads were paid for by Declaration Alliance, Campaign for Liberty, AJS, Morgensen, Hensel Phelps, Cache Bank and Trust and/or Mrs. Buck. As corporations, Declaration Alliance, Campaign for Liberty, AJS, Hensel Phelps and Cache Bank and Trust are prohibited from making contributions to federal effection campaigns. Heasel Plielps is firstner machibitati fatam matting such anatribations coming in its wheth as a federal government contractor. By accepting these in-leind exotributions, Buck and the Buch Committee have improperly and mith knowledge genepted and benefited from campaign contributions that are prohibited by the Act and Commission regulations.

IV. Conclusion

Based upon the foregoing facts, Buck, the Buck Committee, Declaration Alliance, Campaign for Liberty, AJS, Morgensen, Hensel Phelps, Cache Bank and Trust and/or Mrs. Buck have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, and the Federal Election Commission regulations. Accordingly, we respectfully request that the Commission immediately issue an appropriate masse and chariff order, must be injunctive miles, and initiate an investigation is the foregoing faderal campaign contains to violations and impose the maximum penalty alimerable under the law.

The foregoing is correct and accurate to the best of my k	nowledge, information and belief.
Respectfully submitted,	
Charles R. Grice, Jr.	
Sworn to and subscribed to me this day of	, 2010
Notary	Public
My Commission Expires:	

EXHIBIT 3